

# Constrained optimization – Non-differentiable problems

Optimization with Applications to Image Processing\*

19/04/2012

## 1 Constrained optimization

### 1.1 Projected gradient method

Let  $A$  be an invertible matrix in  $\mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , we want to find the minimum of

$$J(x) = \|Ax - b\|^2, \text{ subject to } x_i \geq 0, i = 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

1. Compute  $\nabla J$ .
2. Show that  $J$  is strongly convex, and that  $\nabla J$  is Lipschitz (give the associated constants).
3. Specify the projected gradient algorithm for the minimization problem considered here. For what values of the step-size  $\tau$  is convergence ensured?
4. Write down a function  $x = \text{minimize}(A, b, \tau, r)$  that computes the output of the previous algorithm at iteration  $r$ .
5. Apply the previous parts to solve the minimization problem with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

6. Check that the obtained solution is correct, by computing the solution by hand using Kuhn-Tucker relations.

### 1.2 Projected gradient method (bis)

Consider the set  $C \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,

$$C = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3, x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq 1\}.$$

1. (a) What is the geometric form of  $C$ ? Show that  $C$  is convex.  
(b) Write an explicit expression for  $P_C$ , the orthogonal projection on  $C$ .  
(c) Write down a function  $z = \text{project}(x)$  that takes as input a vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and compute its projection on  $C \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .
2. Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$  invertible, and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . We consider the function  $J : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $J(x) = \|Ax - b\|^2$ , and the problem (P): minimize  $J(x)$  under the constraint  $x \in C$ .  
(a) Show that (P) admits a unique solution.  
(b) Specify the projected gradient algorithm for the minimization problem considered here. For what values of the step-size  $\tau$  is convergence ensured?  
(c) Write down a function  $x = \text{minimize}(A, b, \tau, r)$  that computes the output of the previous algorithm at iteration  $r$ .

---

\*Exercises by J.-F. Aujol

(d) Solve (P) numerically for

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(e) For this particular case, and for a precision of  $10^{-4}$ , find empirically the largest step-size  $\tau_c$  for which convergence is observed.

### 1.3 Active constraint and Uzawa's method

In this exercise we consider the problem (P):

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize } f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 14x_1 - 6x_2 - 7 \\ & \text{s.t. } x_1 + x_2 \leq 2, \\ & \quad x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 3. \end{aligned}$$

1. Compute the gradient and the Hessian matrix of  $f$ .
2. Solve first the unconstrained minimization of  $f$ , by a method of your choice.
3. Implement a penalization method to solve the constrained minimization problem (P). Find the minimizer. Which is the active constraint?
4. Implement the Newton-Lagrange method, which consists in applying Newton's method to  $f$  restricted to the active constraint.
5. Write (P) in the form:  $\min \langle Au, u \rangle - \langle b, u \rangle$  s.t.  $Cu \leq d$ , and solve it using Uzawa's method. Check consistency between this numerical solution and the previous one.

## 2 A non-differentiable problem: Total Variation minimization

In the following exercises we explore different approaches to minimize the energy associated to the image restoration model proposed by Rudin, Osher and Fatemi (ROF):

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2\mu} \|f - u\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} |Du|.$$

### 2.1 Differentiable approximation of the Total Variation

Consider the following approximation of ROF's model:

$$E_{\epsilon}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \epsilon^2} + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|f - u\|^2.$$

#### 2.1.1 Gradient descent

Compute the Euler-Lagrange equation associated to  $E_{\epsilon}$ . Find the minimizer using a gradient descent method. Choose an image and perturb it with white Gaussian noise. Restore it and estimate empirically the convergence speed of the method.

#### 2.1.2 Quasi-Newton method

Minimize  $E_{\epsilon}$  using a quasi-Newton method. Determine empirically the convergence speed. *Remark:* it can be proved that this kind of method has linear convergence rate, however quadratic convergence rates are usually observed in practice.

### 2.2 Projection Algorithms

We recall that the solution of ROF model is given by

$$u = f - \mu P_G(f/\mu).$$

Hence, the computation of  $u$  is straightforward once we know how to compute the projection on  $G$ .

### 2.2.1 Chambolle's algorithm

To compute the projection, the discrete problem to be solved is

$$\min \{ \|\operatorname{div}(p) - f/\mu\|^2 : p \in (\mathbb{R}^{N \times N})^2, \|p(i, j)\|_2^2 \leq 1, 1 \leq i, j \leq N \}.$$

One possibility is to use a fixed point method to solve the Kuhn-Tucker relations. This gives:

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 &= 0, \\ p_{i,j}^{n+1} &= \frac{p_{i,j}^n + \tau(\nabla(\operatorname{div}(p) - f/\mu))_{i,j}}{1 + \tau|\nabla(\operatorname{div}(p) - f/\mu)|_{i,j}} \end{aligned}$$

Chambolle shows that for  $\tau < 1/8$ , then  $\operatorname{div}(p)$  converges to  $P_G(f/\mu)$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . In practice, convergence is observed for  $\tau < 1/4$ .

Implement the previous iteration, test it on images perturbed by noise, and check empirically the previous assertions on  $\tau$ . Perform an empirical analysis of the convergence speed.

### 2.2.2 Projected gradient algorithm

The projection can also be computed using a projected gradient method:

$$\begin{aligned} v^n &= f/\mu + \operatorname{div}(p^n) \\ p_{i,j}^{n+1} &= \frac{p_{i,j}^n + \tau(\nabla v^n)_{i,j}}{\max\{1, |p_{i,j}^n + \tau(\nabla v^n)_{i,j}|\}} \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown that for  $\tau < 1/4$ , the iteration converges to the minimizer of  $E(u)$ .

Implement the previous iteration, test it on images perturbed by noise, and check empirically the previous assertions on  $\tau$ . Perform an empirical analysis of the convergence speed.

### 2.2.3 Extension to deconvolution

We now consider the deconvolution problem, with the following model:

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2\mu} \|Au - f\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} |Du|,$$

where  $A$  is a blur operator (consider here a Gaussian kernel). It can be proved that the following scheme converges to  $u$  when the step-size  $\nu$  satisfies  $\nu\|A^*A\| \leq 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} v^n &= u^n + \nu A^*(f - Au^n) \\ u^{n+1} &= \arg \min_u \left\{ \frac{1}{2\mu\nu} \|v^n - u\|^2 + \int |Du| \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Implement this minimization procedure using a projected gradient method. Compare its convergence speed to the one obtained by directly minimization of the approximated differentiable total variation using a quasi-Newton algorithm.

## 2.3 Nesterov's algorithm

Nesterov's algorithm is particularly efficient. For the minimization of  $E(u)$  it takes the form:

Initialization:  $k = 0, v^0 = 0, x^0 = 0, L = 8\mu$ .

Repeat until stopping criteria:

1. Set  $k = k + 1$ , and compute  $\eta^k = -\nabla(f - \mu \operatorname{div}(x^k))$ .

2. Set  $y^k = P_K(x^k - \eta^k/L)$ , with  $K = \{x \in (L^2)^2 \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$ .
3. Set  $v^k = v^{k-1} + \frac{k+1}{2}\eta^k$ .
4. Set  $z^k = P_K(-v^k/L)$ .
5. Set  $x^{k+1} = \frac{2}{k+3}z^k + \frac{k+1}{k+2}y^k$ .

Output:  $u = f - \mu \operatorname{div}(y^{\lim})$ .

Implement this method. Compare its convergence speed with the ones of the other methods explored in this problem set.